

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,018	MUHLHOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M. Farah	3769	

All Participants:

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(2) Douglas J. Christensen (Reg. No. 35,480).

Date of Interview: 16 November 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:25 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 22 and 30.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor informalities in the claims languages were discussed and corrective terms were agreed. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the agreed changes by Examiner's Amendment (EA). See the attached EA.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)